IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 10254 of 2004

Between:

Ch.Dharamender Raju S/o Raghupathi Raju
H.No.2-10-275, Jyothi Nagar, Karimangar , Presently residing at
H.No.67/A , Janaki Enclave, Ampro Colony, Karman Ghat, Hyd

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Circle Inspector of Police, Rural Police Station, Karimnagar
2 Sub Inspector of Police, II Town Police Station,
Karimanagar, Karimnagar District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or by any other suitable writ, declaring the acts of the Respondents 1 and 2 in interfering in the Civil Dispute between the petitioner and his other partners named S/Sri M.Narasaiah Goud, Taneparthi Ravinder Rao., Smt. Ch. Padmaja Rani And G.Rajasekhar Reddy and others by obtaining petitioner's signatures on blank N.J.Stamp Papers and White papers and calling the petitioner to the Police station by sending constables in Mufti Dress and threatening the petitioner with dire consequences as illegal effecting "right to life" and consequently pass suitable directions to the Respondents 1 and 2 not to interfere in business Civil Disputes between the petitioner (My self) and his other afore said partners, in any manner, pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass such other order or orders as deemed fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.BANKATLAL MANDHANI

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR HOME

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in interfering with the civil disputes between the petitioner and his partners in M/s K.N.R.Krishi Chit Fund and M/s Krishi Seeds and Processing Plants.

2. According to the petitioner, there are certain disputes pending between him and other partners of the above two firms. At the instance of the partners of the firms, the respondent police officials are interfering with the disputes and are pressuring the petitioner for settling the accounts of his partners in the above referred partnership firms. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents have no such power or jurisdiction to interfere, and sought for a direction not to interfere with the civil disputes.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

4. As the disputes pending between the petitioner and his partners are alleged to be civil disputes, the respondent police officials have no power or jurisdiction to interfere with the said disputes. Accordingly, the respondents are directed not to interfere with the civil disputes pending between the petitioner and his partners.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

23-06-2004

Note:Furnish C.C. in three days
b/o
vrn

To

1 Circle Inspector of Police, Rural Police Station, Karimnagar
2 Sub Inspector of Police, II Town Police Station, Karimanagar, Karimnagar District
3. Two C.Cs to G.P for Home, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

4. Two C.D copies.